

Kāšģarī on the Beliefs and Superstitions of the Turks

Author(s): Robert Dankoff

Reviewed work(s):

Source: Journal of the American Oriental Society, Vol. 95, No. 1 (Jan. - Mar., 1975), pp. 68-80

Published by: American Oriental Society
Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/599159

Accessed: 20/12/2012 11:12

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Oriental Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Journal of the American Oriental Society.

http://www.jstor.org

KĀŠĠARĪ ON THE BELIEFS AND SUPERSTITIONS OF THE TURKS

ROBERT DANKOFF

BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY

Although Kāšġarī's Dīwān Luġāt at-Turk (c. 1075) has been used recently by scholars for comparative purposes, there has been no systematic treatment of materials bearing on superstitions and religious beliefs since Brockelmann's study, fifty years ago.

Islamization of the "ghazi" variety is reflected in the oral culture; also, certain terms have taken on an Islamic content, certain others, however, retain a "pre-Islamic" coloring (I). Pre-Islamic notions survive most vividly in the categories of festivals, sacrifices, and feasts (II); the conceptions of fortune (III), blessedness (IV), and cursedness (V); the various classes of ghosts and spirits (VI), demons and evil eye (VII); the functions of diviners (VIII), who should not be called "shamans" in the strict definition of that term (Excursus); and beliefs in a variety of marvelous minerals, plants, and animals (IX).

The Diwan Lugat at-Turk is a mine of infor-MATION on the folk beliefs of the Turks, at a period when Turkic dynasties were politically dominant in the Muslim world, but when Islam had only begun to penetrate the lives of the tribesmen who were their followers and support. The author, Maḥmūd Kāšġarī, was a scion of the Qarakhanid dynasty. He travelled among the Turkic peoples, "throughout their cities and their steppes, learning their dialects and their verses" (3,3),1 and wrote his Diwan on the model of Arabic lexicons. Since it is dedicated to the caliph al-Muqtadī (1075-1094), its purpose was perhaps to explain to the Abbasid court the language and customs of their Seljuk overlords. The information contained in the Diwān, however, mainly concerns those nomadic and sedentarized Turkic tribes who were subject to the Qarakhanid dynasty, then ruling in Transoxania and Central Asia.

There has been no systematic study of materials in the $Diw\bar{a}n$ bearing on superstitions and religious beliefs since Brockelmann's "Volkskundliches aus Altturkestan." In this pioneering article, Brockelmann gathered much of the relevant data, but

stressed the wrong things. He ignored qut ("Fortune"), for example, in his discussion of supernatural beliefs. Instead—thinking, perhaps, of the pre-Islamic Arabs—he concentrated on ažun and ödläg ("world" and "time"), words which serve, in the rather sophisticated verses, as conceits for "Fate".

Alessio Bombaci remedied this in his discussion of qut,³ but did not distinguish adequately between the two earliest Islamic Turkic monuments—the Diwān, and Qutadgu Bilig (written 1069). In the former qut is by no means an "ambivalent notion" as it is in the latter, where it corresponds to Arabic dawlah. Rather, the word qut in the Diwān carries only its old positive connotations (see III below).

Jean-Paul Roux must be credited for showing the poverty of material in pre-Mongol texts, including the $Diw\bar{a}n$, that can be interpreted as "shamanic" (see VIII below). With regard to the level of Islamization attained by the Turks in Kāšģarī's time, Robert Devereux's essay drew

¹ References to the *Dīwān* give page and line number of the phototypical edition of the unique manuscript (Ankara, 1941). On the author's life, see O. Pritsak, "Mahmud Kāṣgarī kimdir?," *Türkiyat Mecmuası* 10 (1951-53), 243-246. Pritsak also elucidated the history of the Qarakhanids; his findings are summarized by Bosworth in the new Encyclopedia of Islam, *s.v.* "Ilekkhānids."

² Asia Major II (1925), 110-124.

 $^{^3}$ "Qutlu γ Bolsun!" (part 2), Ural-Altaische Jahrbücher 38 (1966), 13-43.

⁴ Ibid., p. 40.

^{5 &}quot;Le nom du chaman dans les textes turco-mongols," Anthropos 53 (1958), 133-142: "Eléments chamaniques dans lex textes pré-mongols," Anthropos 53 (1958), 441-456.

⁶ "Al-Kāshgarī and Early Turkish Islam," *The Muslim World*, 1959, 133-138. Devereux gauges the extent of Islamic penetration as evidenced by the Hadiths extolling the Turks, the Japhetic genealogy, the figure of

attention to the level reached by Kāšģarī himself, but fell short when describing the level reached by the masses of Turkic converts (see I below).

It must be kept in mind that the $Diw\bar{a}n$ is first of all a lexicon, and that the verses, proverbs, sentences and bits of information that Kāšģarī gives are all in illustration of lexical items. Our investigation, therefore, will mainly revolve around the interpretation of words, and will pay close attention to their Arabic equivalents.

I. ISLAMIZATION

In the third quarter of the eleventh century Islam had only begun to take on importance in the lives of the majority of Turks. For the common people, if not for the ruling dynasties, two aspects of Islam were influential.

The first was a pious asceticism of the Sufi variety.7 This is little evidenced in the Diwan. Kāšģarī mentions only one figure, a certain Oulbāg, whom he describes as a Turkic ascete (zāhid) who used to frequent the mountains of Balāsāġūn (239.3): "They say that he used to write with his hand on hard black stone: täyri quli qulbāq, meaning "God's slave Qulbāq," and the writing would show forth on it in white; and the same on white stone, where the writing would show forth in black; traces of it are found to this day." Qulbaq, it seems, practiced the Sufi custom of rolling in the dust, and achieved some notoriety for this as well. In a verse (478.5) the poet taunts his former partner, who has stolen a slave-boy of his named Turumtay, by saying (among other things): qulbāq udu yuwulma, "Don't roll after Oulbāq!"

The second aspect of Islam that was influential was the "ghazi" spirit, which drove its more warlike converts to emulate the early Arab conquerors, inspired by the teachings of Muḥammad. This spirit was playing an important role among the contemporary Turkmen tribes in the west.⁸ It is also evidenced in the *Diwān*.

Kāšģarī relates (545,14-546,6) how the Ghāzi, Arslān Tegīn, with an army of 40,000 Muslims defeated the infidel Yabāqu tribe, who were 700,000 strong. Interestingly, he puts the story in the mouth of one of the defeated soldiers: "When the drums began to beat and the trumpets began to blow, we saw just ahead a green mountain blocking the horizon. In it were gates, too numerous to count, each of them wide open and shooting at us sparks from fires. We were bewildered on account of this, and so you defeated us." This is a typical "ghazi" legend; Kāšģarī piously ascribes the miracle to the Prophet.

One of the verse cycles in the *Diwān* describes a campaign against the infidel (Buddhist) Uighurs. The following verse is especially revealing (173,8):

kälyizläyü aqtimiz "We came down on them like a flood

kändlär üzä čiqtimiz We went out upon their cities furxan äwin yiqtimiz We tore down the idol temples burxan üzä sičtimiz We shit upon the idols' heads."

Kāšģarī serenely remarks on this that "it is customary for the Muslims, when they capture a country of infidels, to defecate on the heads of their idols in order to profane them."

The word for "idol"—burxān (~ furxan; cf. 219,10)—comes of course from the word for Buddha (ED, 360). Another word that is known to be of Buddhist provenance is toyin (519,7; ED, 569), defined as "priest of the Infidels" (al-'ilj min ummat al-kufr). "He is like the 'Ālim or Mufti among us," Kāšģarī remarks; "he is always found with his idols, and reading books and the laws of the infidels (aḥkām al-kafarah)—we take refuge from him with God most high!" Elsewhere (479,13) we find the following characteristic example sentences: qul täŋrikä yükündi, "The slave (worshipper) bowed down to God;" toyin burxanqa yükündi, "The heathen ('ilj) bowed down to the idol."

The animosity toward Buddhism illustrated here is in striking contrast to Kāšġarī's attitude toward the "national" customs and beliefs associated with the diviners (see VIII below).

Words like burxān and toyin were too closely associated with rival religions to acquire an Islamic significance. The same is true of suburgān (257,11; ED, 792), "tombs of the Infidels" (an-

Dhū-l Qarnayn, etc. On the last point, see now Robert Dankoff, "The Alexander Romance in the Dīwān Lughāt at-Turk," *Humaniora Islamica* I (1973).

⁷ See the classic study by Fuad Köprülü, Türk Edebiyatında İlk Matusavvıflar (second edition, Ankara 1966).

⁸ See P. Wittek, The Rise of the Ottoman Empire (London, 1966), p. 18ff.; I. Mélikoff, La Geste de Melik Dānişmend (2 vols., Paris, 1960), Vol. I, p. 48ff.

⁹ References cited "ED" are to Sir Gerard Clauson, An Etymological Dictionary of Pre-Thirteenth-Century Turkish (Oxford, 1972).

nāwūs wa-maqābir al-kafarah), and bačaq (207,12; ED, 293), "Christian fast"—i.e. Lent.¹⁰

Several words were able to take on an Islamic coloring, at least in certain contexts or in certain dialects. Nom (503,10; ED, 777), the only general word in the Diwan for "religion" (al-milal kulluhā), is labelled "dialect of the Sīn's" (i.e. Kāšģar and points east, cf. 228,7); but the expression täŋri nōmi is translated "God's Religion" (šarī at allāh wa-dīnuhu), i.e. Islam. Biti (540,16), which is a dialect form of bitiq, "book," means "a revealed Book" (al-kitāb min al-kutub al-munzalah min as-samā'). At 410,11 Kāšģarī says that yalāwāč means specifically a messenger of God (i.e. a Prophet) and is opposed to the Uighur term yalāwar which is confined to a messenger of a king. (The Oguz form yalāvač is found at 45,16 and 561,9 translated simply "messenger".) The term idi (56,7), meaning "master, lord" (sayyid, mawlâ, rabb), can refer to God (allāh ta'ālâ). Ekindi (82,10) originally a doublette of ekinč meaning "second," has already taken on the specialized sense of "the time of the afternoon prayer" (wagt şalāt al-'asr).

A special case is the word muyān (520,14; ED, 386), "religious recompense" (at-tawāb). According to Karl Menges, this "is probably one of those rare Sanskrit loan words [Skr. puṇja, Uig. bujan] which became so deeply rooted that it was no longer felt to be alien and could not be eradicated when Islam gained access to Eastern Türkistan; ... the two derivatives which Kāšyarī quotes are in some sense proof of its complete adoption by Turkic: mujan-čy-lyq, 'reconciliation', and mujan-lyq, 'a watering station on the road'. This true loan word firmly withstood the onslaught of Arabic." 11

I must disagree here with Menges' judgement. To be sure, muyān and its derivatives have retained a religious connotation, but there is no indication by Kāšģarī that this connotation is specifically an Islamic one. As for muyančiliq, I would agree with Bombaci's suggestion that there is a contamination from Persian miyān. ¹² Outside of the Diwān and Qutadju Bilig¹³ the

word hardly "withstood the onslaught of Arabic." Muyān was replaced universally by the Arabic tawāb; "Muyānliq by such words as Osm. sebil or vakif (Ar. sabīl, waqf). It thus followed the fate of nōm (replaced by Ar. dīn), biti (Ar. kitāb), and yalāwač (P. Peygamber), etc.

Some words which did withstand the onslaught of Arabic in many Turkic dialects are $u\check{c}m\bar{a}q$, "paradise" (72,5) and tamu, "hell" (548,12), 15 and $t\ddot{a}\eta ri$, "God" (608,17; cf. 51,12 $u\dot{g}an$ $t\ddot{a}\eta ri$, "God Almighty" [allāh al-qādir], and $t\ddot{a}\eta ri$ $n\bar{o}mi$, above). On the last Kāšģarī has the following remark (609,5): "The Infidels—may God destroy them!—call the sky $t\ddot{a}\eta ri$; also anything that is imposing ('azuma) in their eyes they call $t\ddot{a}\eta ri$, such as a great mountain or tree, and they bow down to such things" This is revealing for itself 16 and also for Kāšģarī's contemptuous attitude toward the primitive theological conceptions of the non-Muslim Turks.

II. FESTIVALS, SACRIFICES, AND FEASTS

At this point we begin to touch on beliefs and customs that show little or no influence of "foreign" religions.

The word defined as "festival" (al-'īd) is bayram (522,9), labelled Oğuz dialect.¹⁷ Of it Kāšġarī remarks: "I consider it to derive from the popular

¹⁰ Cf. Henning in BSOS 8 (1936), p. 587-8.

¹¹ K. H. Menges, *The Turkic Languages and Peoples:* An Introduction to Turkic Studies (Ural-Altaische Bibliothek 15: Wiesbaden, 1968), p. 166-7.

¹² Bombaci, op. cit., p. 24, n. 53.

¹³ In Qutadğu Bilig the word muyan is found always in connection with the ascete Odğurmiš, See R. R. Arat,

Kutadgu Bilig I: Metin (Istanbul, 1947), lines 3225, 3262, 3319, 3499, 5162, 5292, 5296, 5733, 5984, 6287. Muyanliq is also found, but in the sense of "inn, lodging" (line 489).

¹⁴ Cf. W. Radloff, Versuch eines Wörterbuches der Türk Dialecte, 4 vols. (St. Petersburg, 1893-1911), Vol. IV, "Alphabetisches Verzeichniss," p. 36, s.v. The latest trace of muyan appears to be in the fourteenth century Arabic-Turkic lexicon of Ibn Muhannā (A. Battal, İbnü-Mühennā Lūgatı, Istanbul, 1936); but in the Ms. that served as basis for Melioranski's edition, the word corresponding to ṭawāb is alġiš (P. Melioranski, Arab Filolog o Turetskom Yazike, St. Petersburg, 1900, p. 44).

¹⁵ On učmāq and tamu see now H. W. Brands, Studien zum Wortbestand der Türksprachen...(Leiden, 1973), p. 51-52.

¹⁶ Cf. A. Z. V. Togan, Ibn Fadlān's Reisebericht (Abhandlungen für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, XXIV.3, Leipzig, 1939), pp. 26, 136; A. v. Rohr-Sauer, Des Abā Dulaf Bericht über seine Reise nach Turkestân, China und Indien . . . (Bonn, 1939), p. 22.

¹⁷ Cf. O. Turan, "Bayram" in İslam Ansiklopedisi, 16. cüz (Istanbul, 1961).

term (qawl an-nās) $ba\underline{d}ram$, meaning 'pleasure," since a festival day is a day of pleasure. Before Islam (fi-l-jāhiliyyah) there were no festival days at all, that there should have been a word for them; if there had been, then all of the Turks should have known it (in this meaning), but the only ones who do are (those who) change \underline{d} to \underline{y} according to their dialect (i.e., Oğuz)."

Here "festival day" means the two great festivals of the Islamic calendar, still called *bayram* among the descendants of Oğuz. Outside of the Oğuz usage the word retained its pagan association with the hunt and with other "secular" (in Islamic terms) events in the life of the people. An example is the verse at 136,2:

yigitlärig išlatu Let us put the youngsters to work yigāč yemiš irgatu Shaking fruit from the trees qulān käyik awlatu Hunting wild ass and other beasts badram qilip awnalim While we enjoy the holiday.

Kāšģarī is surely mistaken when he says the Turks had no festivals or holidays before Islam. He himself mentions festivals (a 'yād) in the rather mysterious notice of kānč liyu (633,8): "a table that is set up during festivals and the feasts of kings (al-a 'yād wa-walā'im al-mulūk), for booty; it is like a minaret, thirty cubits straight up." These are surely not the Muslim festivals, but rather the great pagan feast days of the Turks, characterized by games and display of booty (as illustrated, for example, in *Dede Qorqut*). The booty probably served as prizes; and the suggestion has been made (ED, 727) that känč here is simply the Persian ganj "treasure".

This notice would seem to have no religious reference at all. *Liyu*, however, must be the same word (or derive from the same word) as *lev*, found in Uighur texts as one of the words for a sacrificial offering.¹⁹ Turkic *känč* (633,7) means "baby;

young of an animal," and in this compound, therefore, could originally have meant the young animal of a sacrifice. The word liyu, curiously, is found as a main entry (550,5) translated: "mud which turns into hard clay when it dries." The compound känč liyu, then, taken with the meanings of the words separately, is reminiscent of the Kitan custom during festivals and anniversaries of imperial deaths: "The earth was ... built up into a platform more than ten feet high. On it a large plate was placed for offering sacrifice; wine and food were spread out on it and burned. According to national custom, this was called the Burning Festival."²⁰

The only word in the *Dīwān* unequivocally associated with sacrifice is *yaġiš* (448,17): "name of the sacrificial beast which the heathens used to slaughter for their idols because of a vow that fell due or as an offering (ism al-'atīrah allatī kāna yaḍbaḥu ahl al-jāhiliyyah li-aṣnāmihim linaḍrin waqa'a lahum aw-taqarrubin).

The Arabic word for sacrifical beast ('atīrah) is found again at 510,1 where mention is made of the Magians who sacrifice 'atā'ir at the annual festival at Baykand near Bukhara, where they lament the death of Siyayush.²¹

Clausen (ED, 908) derives yaġiš from yaġ-, "to pour down (rain, etc.)," and therefore translates it "libation." Indeed, of the Magian 'atā'ir Kāšġarī remarks, "They pour their blood on his blood"—i.e. they pour the blood of the sacrificial beasts over the blood of Siyavush. Yaġiš, however, is clearly the name of the offering itself, not its blood. Rachmati Arat translated it "Schlacht-opfer," in opposition to sačiġ "Streuopfer," tökük "Libationen," and aš, liv - läv, turma, "Opferspeise"—all of these terms found in Uighur texts. 22 Yaġiš therefore is perhaps to be connected rather with the roots yaq-, yaġu-, "to draw near" (cf. ED, 898), with the same semantic complex as Hebrew and Arabic qurbān, taqarrub.

Kāšģarī mentions the names of four kinds of feast. Two of these may perhaps relate to seasonal festivals. One is soģdič (229,1), a circulating (?)

¹⁸ See the works cited in the previous two notes. According to Abū Dulaf (Rohr-Sauer, *loc. cit.*) the Kirgiz had three yearly festivals; this is paralleled by Chinese notices on the Hiung-nu and the T'u-kue.

¹⁹ See Rachmati [Arat], "Türkische Turfan-Texte VII," APAW, 1937, p. 67. In his sinological notes to this study Wolfram Eberhard states (ibid., p. 95): "Die chinesische Entsprechung für liv ist mir unbekannt." Clauson (ED, 763-4) suggests Ch. li "a grain" as the etymon for lev, and a different Ch. etymon for liyu. In Qutadğu Bilig, line 2549f., we find the combination lev αš tergi for the table of food brought out for visitors to the court; line 2553: two things add to the fame of Begs, their banner in the courtyard, and their lev in the place of honor.

²⁰ K. A. Wittfogel and Feng Chia-Sheng, History of Chinese Society: Liao (907-1125) (New York, 1949), p. 284. (In Kāšgarī's notice on kānč liyu it must also be contemplated whether the phrase "for booty"—li-n-nahb—may not be in error for li-t-taqarrub, or the like).

²¹ Cf. R. N. Frye, The History of Bukhara...by Narshakhī (Cambridge, Mass., 1954), pp. 16-17, 23.

²² See note 19 above.

feast in winter (diyāfah tadūru bayn al-qawm fī š-šitā' 'alâ t-tartīb). The other is kästäm (244,1), a night drinking party for uninvited guests (diyāfah yattaxiduhā r-rajul li-šurbin atawhu laylan min gayr ma'dubah). The second one is called šanbūy in Känčāk dialect (550,14 diyāfah yuttaxadu laylan li-šurbin ḥaḍarū min da'wah uxrâ).

The other two are intimately related to the family crises of marriage and death. The wedding feast is called küdän (203,17 al-walīmah).23 The funeral feast is known as yōġ basan (201,7); the two words of this compound are defined separately as follows. Basan²⁴ is the food prepared for the dead after his burial (201,6 ta'ām yuttaxadu li-lmayvit ba'da mā dafanūhu), wheras $y\bar{o}\dot{g}$ is the food prepared for his relatives either three or seven days after the burial (506.9 ta am vuttaxadu li-qawm raja'ū min dafn al-mayyit ilâ talātat ayyām aw sab'ah). We also find the phrase: ol ölügkä yoğlādi (579,7), translated, "He prepared a feast for the dead" (ittaxada da watan li-l-mayvit). "This," Kāšģarī says, "is a custom of the Turks."25

III. FORTUNE: QUT

Over and above any specific religious notion is a generalized belief in Fortune (dawlah, jadd, baxt, yumn), ²⁶ expressed by the word *qut* (161,10) and its pair *qiw* (167,7). That is to say, good fortune or luck. Evil fortune ("fate") is expressed by

ažun, "world" (51,6 ad-dunyā—Čigil dialect), or ödläg, "time" (64,5 az-zamān).27

In the two verses where we find *qut qiw* as a paired expression, Fortune is explicitly stated to be a gift of God. (161,11):

qut qiwiğ bersä i<u>d</u>im qūliŋa kündä iši yüksäbän yōqār aǧār

"When God gives Fortune to His slave, his status (amr) daily rises." (152,9).:

uluğ täyri ağirladi anin qut qiw tozi toğdi

"God must high graced him with victory, and thus the dust of Fortune rose on high."

Even in these verses qut is a very worldly conception, equivalent to social status or victory in battle. Elsewhere we find the pair näŋ qut (verse at 253,13), meaning "worldly fortune" (māl wajadd). In two other verses qut is, by extention, the beloved one whose loss the poet bewails. (355,10):

sürdi menig qutumni qāz taqi qordāyim**n**i

"He drove away my Fortune, my goose and my swan"
—i.e. my beloved slave-boy Turumtāy. (139,12):

yiğlap udu artadim bağrim bašin qartadim qačmīš qutuğ irtädim . . .

"I cried after my beloved until I dissolved; I broke open the wound of my liver which had healed; I followed the trace of Fortune which had fled "28

Qut is something that a person finds ($n\ddot{a}\eta$ qut bulup in the verse at 253,13; qut buldi as equivalent to qutuldi in the sense of finding release from pain at giving birth, 329,10). It is the luck that attaches to a person and can just as easily leave him (anig quti učdi, "His luck flew away," 92,5; anig quti udindi, "His luck was extinguished," 109,6). But it is not altogether capricious. It comes to those who honor guests (proverb at 59,3: $\ddot{u}m\ddot{a}$ kälsä qut kälir, "The guest brings good luck" [jadd, yumn]; cf. verse at 55,2=193,4). It also comes to those who honor their elders (proverb at 154,4: ulugni uluglāsa qut bulūr). It is associated with

²³ On marriage customs see Robert Dankoff, "Kāšγarī on the Tribal and Kinship Organization of the Turks," *Archivum Ottomanicum* IV (1972), section IV.

 $^{^{24}}$ Vocalization uncertain; vocalized $b\ddot{a}s\ddot{a}n$ by Togan, $op.\ cit.$, p. 139.

²⁵ Cf. Togan, op. cit., excursus to no. 31; J-P. Roux, La Mort chez les peuples altaiques anciens et médiévaux (Paris, 1963), p. 147ff.; L. Bazin, "Formules propitiatoires et genres oraux traditionels: étude d'une famille de mots turco-mongole," Turcica I (1969), pp. 20-22; Menges, op. cit., pp. 87-88; F. Köprülü, Edebiyat Araştırmaları (Ankara, 1966), p. 87ff.; W. Radloff, Aus Sibirien, 2 vols. (Leipzig, 1893), Vol. I, pp. 379, 449, 487; K. Menges, "Volkskundliche Texte aus Ost-Türkistan," SPAW, 1933, lines I.33, II.7-9, III.30, V.15, Va.8,28; G. P. Snesarev, "Remnants of Pre-Islamic Beliefs and Rituals among the Khorezm Uzbeks," Part V, Soviet Anthropology and Archeology 11 (Winter 1972-73), p. 233-4.

 $^{^{26}}$ Bombaci, op. cit., p. 26 includes țăli' among the Arabic equivalents of qut; I do not find this in the Di- $w\bar{a}n$ as an equivalent of qut.

²⁷ For discussion and examples see Brockelmann, op. cit., p. 118-119.

²⁸ Bombaci, op. cit., p. 27 translates: "I trod in the steps of the flying Fortune," and takes this as an example of the "personification" of Fortune.

wisdom (proverb at 215,12: qut bälgüsi bilig) and with virtue (proverb at 381,7: ärdämsizdän qut čärtilür, "Fortune flees from the man without virtue").

"Fortune rains double on a lucky man" (proverb at 470,14: qutlugqa qoša yagār). But "when a luckless man falls in the well it rains sand" (proverb at 230,9: qutsuz qudugqa kirsä qum yagār).

IV. BLESSEDNESS AND GOOD OMENS

The word qutlug, "fortunate," means, by extention, "blessed" (mubārak), as when it is used in two verses to modify Summer (54,3; 233,4). The notion is expressed in Oguz dialect by ugur (39,3 xayr, barakah), used only in the prayergreeting to travellers: yōl ugur bolsun.

Of a more specifically religious nature is the word iduq (45,3), defined as "anything blessed" (kull šay' mubārak). On the origin of this word Kāšġarī states: "Any animal that has been set free (yusayyabu) is called iduq. One does not burden its back, nor milk its udder, nor shear its wool, because of a vow (nadr) taken by its owner." It is unclear whether this is the same kind of vow mentioned in connection with yagis (see II above). In some modern Turkic languages a form of the word iduq²⁹ is used for the horse dedicated for sacrifice, or else the domestic animal to which is transmitted a disease and which is then consecrated to the demons.30 For "animal set free" Kāšģarī also gives idma yilqi (77,10) and idinču yilqi (79,11). We also find the phrase idua $t\bar{a}\dot{g}$ (45,5), meaning "a mountain that is inaccessible and long" (jabal māni tawīl).

The use of an animal's shoulder-blade to predict the future might be mentioned in this connection. It is attested in the $Diw\bar{a}n$ only frozen in a proverb, and with a political reference (453,13): yarin bulgansa el bulganūr, "If the shoulder-blade is impaired, the state will suffer impairment" (idā tašawwaša l-wilāyah [the Arabic is defective]).31

Certain specific things are considered blessed and are taken as good omens when they appear. Such, for example, are the physical concomitants of birth. The amniotic sac is called $q\bar{a}p$ (508,2), defined as "the membrane in which the foetus is enclosed in the womb." A child bearing traces of this membrane is called *qāpliq ogul* and is reckoned as blessed (mubārak).32 The placenta or afterbirth is known as $um\bar{a}y$, defined as follows (74,5): "a thing which emerges from the womb after a woman gives birth; it is like a small box (ka-l-huggah) and is said to be the companion (sāhib) of the child in the womb. . . . Women draw a good omen from it (yatafa''alna bi-dalika)." They also pray to it for fertility, as we gather from the accompanying proverb: umāyga tapinsa ogul bulūr, "One who worships Umāy will get a child."33

Other things which Kāšġarī mentions as "good omens" are the color orange, and the number nine (498,11 f.),³⁴ also a halo around the moon, which augurs rain (134,2). The Turks also draw an omen (yatafa''alūna) from each year in the twelveyear cycle, of which Kāšġarī gives four examples (175,1 f.).³⁵

Namen einiger Grosskatzen," Keleti-Szemle XVII (1917), p. 142; ED, 970. On scapulomancy among the Altaic peoples see Roux, Faune et flore . . ., pp. 154-159.

32 Cf. definition of "caul" in *The American College Dictionary*: "a part of the amnion sometimes covering the head of a child at birth, superstitiously supposed to bring good luck and to be an infallible preservative against drowning."

33 On this Placenta Goddess see Roux, La Mort..., p. 87; Snesarev, op. cit., Part IV (vol. 10, Winter, 1971-72), p. 270ff.; A. İnan, Makaleler ve İncelemeler (Ankara 1968), pp. 397-9, 464-5. In Osm. the afterbirth is called son (literally, "end") or, more commonly, eş (literally, "companion"); cf. O. Acıpayamlı, Türkiye'de doğumla ilgili âdet ve inanmaların etnolojik etüdü (Erzurum, 1961), p. 49f.

³⁴ Comparative materials on the number nine are collected by G. Doerfer, *Türkische und mongolische Elemente im Neupersischen* (Akademie der Wissenschaft und der Literature: Veröffentlichungen der Orientalischen Kommission), Vol. II (Band XIX, 1965), heading no. 976.

35 Comparative materials are gathered in O. Turan, Oniki Hayvanli Türk Takvimı (Istanbul, 1941), pp. 89-96. For some non-Turkic Central Asian examples, see H. Lüders, "Zur Geschichte des ostasiatischen Tierkreises," SPAW, 1933, p. 999; H. W. Bailey, "Hvatanica," BSOS 8 (1937), p. 924ff.

²⁹ Cf. Radloff, Versuch..., Vol. I, columns 1359, 1397, 1634; and ED, 46.

³⁰ See Radloff, Aus Sibirien, Vol. I, p. 282; A. Friedrich and G. Buddruss, Schamanengeschichten aus Sibirien (München, 1955), Index, s.v. ytyk; J-P. Roux, Faune et flore sacrées dans les sociétés altaīques (Paris, 1966), p. 171ff.; D. Zélénine, Le culte des idoles en Sibérie (tr. G. Welter, Paris, 1952), p. 194ff.

³¹ On yarin~yağrin see W. Bang, "Über die türkischen

The term for "good omen" is $\bar{a}\underline{d}$ (52,11 fa'l jay-yid).³⁶ The example given is: $iglig\ tutzugi\ \bar{a}\underline{d}\ bol\bar{u}r$, "The testament of a sick man is a good omen for him." This appears to be a set phrase with which one requests a dying man to make his will.

V. EVIL OMENS AND CURSEDNESS

Kāšġarī mentions only two things from which the Turks draw an evil omen (yataṭayyarūna bihi). One is a vulture that shrieks in a man's face—it is a sign that the man will die (121,9). The other is a red cloud at sunrise; at sunset, on the other hand, it is a lucky sign (proverb, 131,2):³⁷

tünlä bulit örtänsä äwlüg uri käldürmiščä bolür taŋda bulit örtänsä äwkä yaġi kirmišcä bolür

"If the clouds are red in the evening, it is as if a woman gave birth to a son; if in the morning, it is as if an enemy entered the house."

"Cursing"—qarģiš (232,2 mal'ūn, la'nah)—has its common source in God (571,7 tāŋri ani qarġādi), and its common object in the Devil or yāk (515,5 aš-šaytān; 384,8 yāk qarġaldi; 433,5 yākni qarġatti). In the Dīwān the Devil is a rather playful imp. He causes yawning (137,8 yāk ani āsnātti), 38 and leads astray with honey and silk (verse at 513,7).

VI. GHOSTS AND SPIRITS

There is a class of goblins, including "a ghoul that strikes one in a deserted place," called $o\eta\bar{u}\check{z}in$ (84,9; ED, 174), and two types of "nightmare" (al-jātūm), called $ab\bar{a}\check{c}i$ and $b\ddot{u}rt$ (80, 14; 172,3). The first is only a bogey; to frighten a child you say: $ab\bar{a}\check{c}i$ $k\ddot{a}ldi$, "Abāči has come." The second

is more formidable; you say: ani bürt basdi, "The nightmare pressed upon him" (268,16).39

"A well-known belief among the Turks" (547,2) is that the spirits of the dead gather one night each year, go into the settlements (amṣār) where they had passed their lives, and visit their families (tazūru ahālīhā). The droning or rustling sound (dawī) which they make is called *tiki* (*tegi*?), and "whoever meets up with this sound at night dies."

Tiki is simply onomatopoeic; cf. tikilādi nāŋ, "It made a light rustling sound" (587,11 dawiya wa-ḥaffa); at aḍāqi tikrādi, "The horse's hoof made a rustling sound" (566,17 dawiya). Kāšgarī, however, attempts to connect the word etymologically with the verbal root täg-, "to reach, attain": "The word has i after the t, but in my opinion a would be better, since you say of a woman who comes to visit her family after she has been given to her husband: tägdi käldi, meaning 'She came visiting' (jā'at zā'iratan)."

Another "well-known belief" (544,14) is that "when two groups do battle, the jinn who dwell in their respective lands fight each other beforehand, out of loyalty (ta aṣṣuban) to the human rulers of their two lands. Whichever of them is victorious, victory comes to the ruler of that one's land on the morrow; but whichever of them is defeated during the night, defeat comes to the king in whose land that party of the jinn dwell. The armies of the Turks hide on the eve of battle (? laylat al-ma ad), keeping to their tents, in order to protect themselves from the arrows of the jinn." This party (hizb) of jinn is called čiwi.

Čiwi is also simply onomatopoeic for the sound of the jinn fighting; cf. čiwila- (587, 5), which expresses the rumbling or sizzling (hadr, azīz) of a pot on the fire.⁴⁰ The čiwi are an example of the Phantom Host, well-known in world folklore.⁴¹

³⁶ The connection of ädgü, "good" (originally "well omened, auspicious"?), with this word is still transparent in the Turkic divination texts, *Irq Bitig* (V. Thomsen, "Dr. M. A. Stein's Manuscripts in Turkish 'Runic' Script from Miran and Tun-Huang," JRAS, 1912, 181-227) and "Türkische Turfan-Texte I" (*SPAW*, 1929, 241-268) = R. R. Arat, *Eski Türk Şiiri* (Ankara 1965), pp. 277-305.

³⁷ As in the English ditty, "Red at night, sailors delight, red in the morning, sailors take warning."

³⁸ Cf. the Hadith cited by al-Ghazālī, "Sneezing is from God, and yawning is from the devil" (J. A. Williams, *Themes of Islamic Civilization*, U. of Calif. Press, 1971, p. 24).

³⁹ Perhaps to be connected with Krg. $b\ddot{u}rt \sim m\ddot{u}rt$, "sudden death." The mysterious k.t.y $b\ddot{u}rt$ at 172,3 (cf. ED, 701) is perhaps to be connected with tiki, see intra (metathesis? misspelling?).

⁴⁰ Clauson however (ED, 394) suggests an etymological connection between čiwi and Ir. daeva, "demon."

⁴¹ On the Phantom Host see T. H. Gaster, Myth, Legend, and Custom in the Old Testament (New York, 1969), p. 204. The Bulghar king explained the Aurora noises to Ibn Faḍlān as a battle between believing and unbelieving jinn (Togan, op. cit., p. 52). Cf. Schiltberger's report of a battle between sea adders and forest adders presaging a victory of the king of Samsun (The Bondage and Travels of Johann Schiltberger . . ., London, 1879, p. 13).

A semantic connection between "guest" and "ghost" (a connection only hinted at in the two English words) is found in Kāšģarī's dictionary. Öz gonugi (35,5), which literally means "the soul's guest," is defined as follows: "Name of the animate part of the body; it is said to be the Spirit" (ism mā vaxtaliju min al-jasad wa-yuqālu hiya r-ruh). A reflection of this is perhaps to be seen in the verbal stem özäl- (107,8), in the phrase iglig özäldi, translated, "The sick man had difficulty giving up the ghost, as though he were too strong for death to be decreed against him" ('asura ʻalâ l-marīd nazʻ ar-rūḥ ka-annahu lā yuqdâ ʻalayhi min aš-šiddah). A word meaning "guest, traveller" (musāfir) is yelgin (460,12 Türk dialect) ~ elgin (26,3 Oguz/Qifčāq dialect); it is found in a verse where the poet is describing the passing phantom of his beloved (turug tayf habībihi). (479,16):

yüknüp maya imlädi
humble gesture
közüm yāšin yamladi
bağrim bāšin ämlädi
elgin bolup ol käčār
He greeted me with a bow and a humble gesture
He wiped away the tear-speck of my eye
He treated the wound of my liver
Then passed me by as a traveller

VII. DEMONIC POSSESSION AND EVIL EYE

The Arabic word "sa'fah" appears fourteen times in the *Dīwān* (all cited below) with the meaning "demonic possession" or "diabolic stroke." The Arabic lexicographers, however, give "sa'fah" as a type of ulcer that erupts on the heads of children; the word meaning "possession" is a metathesis of this: "saf'ah."

The confusion between the two words is much older than Kāšġarī, however; it can in fact be traced almost as far back as the Prophet.

The Lisān al-'Arab, s.v. "saf'ah," gives the following Hadith concerning Umm Salamah: "The Prophet came to her when she had with her a girl who had a saf'ah and he said, 'Use spells for her, for the evil eye has looked upon her' (fīhā nazrah fa-starqū lahā),⁴² meaning that she had a sign ('alāmah) from the Devil."

Now we find virtually the same Hadith s.v. "sa'fah": "He saw a girl in the house of Umm

Salamah who had a sa'fah—with sukūn over the 'ayn; it is said to be ulcers that appear on the head of a child. Ibn al-Athīr said, 'Thus was it transmitted by al-Ḥarbī, with the 'ayn before the fā', but as I memorized it (al-maḥfūz), it is just the contrary.'"

Returning to the $Diw\bar{a}n$: the common source of a sa'fah is $y\bar{e}l$, "a demon" (507, 3 al-jinn; the primary meaning of $y\bar{e}l$ is "wind"). Examples: $\ddot{a}rni$ $y\bar{e}l$ toqidi (562,14), "The man was struck with a sa'fah from the jinn"; $ogl\bar{a}nig$ $y\bar{e}l$ qapdi (265,10), "The child was struck with a sa'fah from the jinn"; $ogl\bar{a}n$ yel qapindi (344,7), "The child was struck with a sa'fah from the jinn"; $ogl\bar{a}n$ yel pindi (507,3), "The man was struck with a sa'fah from the jinn"; $ogl\bar{a}n$ yel pindi (489,4), "The child was seized by a sa'fah"; $\ddot{a}r$ yel pirdi (483,3), "The man turned right and left as though he were struck with a sa'fah from the jinn."

The demonic stroke itself is yelpik (464,10 assa'fah min al-jinn). Example: ärkä yelpik tägdi, "The man was struck with a sa'fah." A synonym is tutuġ (187,11 al-ixd wahwa s-sa'fah min al-jinn). Compare tutuġluġ yēr (248,9), "a land haunted by jinn who injure anyone who passes through." One possessed by a demon or familiar spirit is called ēšliġ (from ēš, "companion"; 35, left margin, alladī ma'ahu tābi'ah min al-jinn).

The trace (atar; ED, 581, "symptoms") of a sa'fah is qovuč (516,13) $\sim qovuz$ (516,16, Oguz dialect). Kāšģarī informs us that one who has been struck with it is treated as follows: "You dash cold water in his face, then say qovuč qovuč, and afterwards fumigate him with rue and aloes. I think it comes from their expression qač qač, meaning 'Run, flee, O jinni!" There is a modern corroboration to the use of the phrase qač qač in driving out the jinn; 44 the etymon for qovuč, however, must be qov-, "to drive out," otherwise not attested in the $Diw\bar{a}n$, but the normal Oguz counterpart of qow- (271,17) $\sim q\bar{o}w$ - (525,12).

Asie II: Le Turkestan et le Tibet . . . (Paris, 1898), p. 254-5.

⁴² The translation of this phrase is that of A. Guillaume, *The Traditions of Islam* (Oxford, 1924), p. 119. Guillaume intereprets "saf'ah" here as "jaundice."

⁴³ Cf. Osm. yel, yelpik, "rheumatism." Another word that must derive from yēl is yelwi, "magic" (458, 12 assiḥr) [but cf. ED, 919: "The semantic connection . . . is probably fortuitous]." It is found parallel with arwiš (see infra) at A. von Le Coq, "Türkische Manichaica aus Chotscho II," APAW, 1919, 5.9. For use in Uighur texts see Arat, Eski Türk Şiiri, p. 310. In the Dīwān its use is largely confined to the "fascination" of the eye of the beloved (see verse s.v. yelwi: verse at 601,13).

Another treatment is mentioned s.v. isriq (62,11): "a word used when curing a child of Sa'fah or Evil Eye; you fumigate him in the face and say isriq isriq, meaning 'Be bitten, O jinni!'" Kāš-ġarī's intent is to connect the phrase with the verbal root isir-, "to bite" (cf. ED, 250). However, in a text given by Menges⁴⁵ that describes the driving out of a demon, isriq is the name of the fumigator, consisting of herbs in a cup, which the sorcerer uses to evoke the helping spirits; and the word is explicitly connected with is "smoke" (line 13: Bu pärixon qolideki isriqniñ isini čiqerip . . .)

In more serious cases, presumably, you call in the Qām (see VIII below) who will prepare a spell, known as $arwaš \sim arwiš.^{46}$ Examples: $q\bar{a}m$ arwaš $arw\bar{a}di$ (144,17); arwiš arwaldi (130,9); $q\bar{a}ml\bar{a}r$ qamug arwašdi (125,6), "The diviners murmured magical phrases, or else they prepared a spell against demonic possession, or the like" (haynamat al-kahanah bi-kalām wa-kadālika ida raqaw min safah wa-naḥwihā). We also find the phrase $s\ddot{o}k\ddot{a}lk\ddot{a}$ $suw\ddot{s}\ddot{a}di$ (569,17, from $suw\ddot{s}a$ -, "to whisper"), meaning "He prepared a spell (raqqâ) for the sick man."

A preventative medicine is known—ägit (37,10)—consisting of a mixture of saffron and other herbs; "it is smeared on babies' faces to ward off Saʿfah and Evil Eye." Charms are also used. The word in Oguz dialect is bitig (193,10 al-ʿūḍah; otherwise bitig means "book", cf. I above); we also find the combination yēl qovuz bitigi (516,16 ʿūḍat al-jinn wa-s-saʿfah). A different precautionary measure is amulets—in the form of gems, lions' paws, and the like—which are attached to the necks of horses: mončuq (239,12). On the same order are scarecrows that are set up in orchards and vegetable patches to keep away the Evil Eye: abāqi (80,17), kösgük (410,16).

On the source of Evil Eye Kāšġarī says nothing, nor does he give the Turkic equivalent. We find one clue s.v. eliq- (105,4), which means, of a man, "to be vile or corrupt" (la'uma), and, of a wound, "to fester" (tanaffaṭa, fasada). The verb is also used, Kāšġarī states, of "anything that becomes spoiled from the glance of a menstruating woman,

a confined woman, or one polluted" (kull šay'fasada min nazrat ḥā'id aw nufasā' aw junub).47

VIII. DIVINERS; QĀM AND YĀTČI.

Kāšģarī mentions two functions of the Qām or "diviner" (513,15 al-kāhin).

The first is the divining of the future, called *irq* (33,13). This word is defined as: "diving, taking omens, and bringing out what is hidden" (alkahanah wa-l-fa'l wa-ixrāj aḍ-ḍamīr; cf. V above and note 36). Example: $q\bar{a}m$ $irql\bar{a}di$ (635,5).

The second function is the preparing of spells as magical cures (see VII above, discussion of *arwaš*). This is the only function that is reflected in the contemporary monumental poem *Qutadgu Biliq* (see note 13).

(line 4362): bu yel yäklig igkä oqiğu käräk "This is the one who must murmur incantations against a sickness caused by a jinn or a devil;" (line 4365): bu aymiš bitig tutsa yäklär yirar "If one holds on to the charm spoken by him, the devils will stay away."

In *Qutadgu Bilig* the Qām is identified as *afsunči* or *mu°azzim*, "charm-monger," and is contrasted with the physician, *otači*, who treats bodily illness with herbs and other medicines.⁴⁸

^{45 &}quot;Volkskundliche Texte . . .," XXXII.12f.

⁴⁶ For some modern examples of such magic formulas, see J. Castagné, "Magie et exorcisme chez les Kazak-Kirghizes et autres peuples turks orientaux," *Revue des Études Islamiques* IV (1930), pp. 130, 133. They are recited by the *arbaouchi*, "conjurer."

⁴⁷ Clauson (ED, 138) reads alik-, and interprets the phrase in a purely medical sense: "anything that turns septic owing to mishaps in menstruation or parturition or to running sores." I prefer to interpret it as referring to witchcraft; the baneful effect of the glance of (or an evil glance upon) women in their periods or after childbirth is common to many traditions. As to the vocalization of this verb, it must be connected with ēl kiši, "a vile (la'īm) man" and ēl qūš, "vulture" (36,7). In Qutadgu Bilig, lines 4589 and 5626, ēl meaning "vile" is spelled, in Ms. A, "L. We also have Mg. eliye, "kite," doubtless from the same word. Note also that in the Dīwān manuscript (105,3-5) the alif has kasrah as well as fathah in most of the forms. The semantic connection is more tenuous between this $\bar{e}l$ and the homonymous words meaning "counrtyard," "realm," and "peace." In Qutadau Bilig the courtyard is the "ignoble" part of the house, opposed to $t\ddot{o}r$, the place of honor; cf note 19 above.

⁴⁸ At line 1065 otači is opposed to qām with the same sense of contrast; elsewhere (2002, 3873, 5244) qām appears to be synonymous with otači. Among the contemporary Khorezm Uzbeks a similar distinction exists between the folbin, "soothsayer," and the parkhon, "conjurer;" the latter is also distinct from the tabib, "healer, witch doctor." See Snesarev, op. cit., Part III (vol. 10, Summer, 1971), p. 3f.

A special type of divination (kahānah) consists of the bringing on of rain and wind by means of certain stones. This is called $y\bar{a}t$ (514,12) $\sim yat$ (445,16), and the diviner (kāhin) in this case is known as Yātči (578,17). He is presumably a special class of Qām, though the word $q\bar{a}m$ is not mentioned in connection with $y\bar{a}t$. In a revealing entry it is the Beg or ruler who orders this conjury to be performed (440,10 beg yatlatti). Of $y\bar{a}t$, Kāšģarī remarks (445,17): "It is generally known among them. I myself witnessed it in Yagma. It was done to put out a fire that had broken out. Snow fell in the summer!—by the grace of God most high—and put out the fire in my presence."

Both Qām and Yātči receive a fee for their services: ürüŋ (79,17 ḥulwān al-kāhin). They say: älig ürüŋi bēr, literally "Give me white of hand." 50

Kāšģarī's attitude toward the Qām and Yātči is strikingly different from his attitude toward, say, the Toyin (see I above). Similar sentiments are expressed by Marvazī⁵¹ who also calls the rain sorceror "kāhin". The Turks are generally known among Arab authors for their magical skills; compare the following remark in the *Fihrist* of an-Nadīm (written 988): "The Turks have a science of magic ('ilm min as-siḥr). I was told by a very reliable source that they perform marvels for routing armies, killing enemies, crossing waters, and passing over great distances in short periods."⁵²

EXCURSUS: "SHAMANISM"

I have refrained from using the word "shaman" to translate Qām. If "shaman" is defined to mean the same as diviner, soothsayer, conjurer, witch-doctor, magician, or the like, than the Qām is a shaman. But if the defining characteristics of "shaman" include: 1) initiatory sicknesses and dreams, 2) employing "archaic techniques of ecstasy," in particular dancing with special costume and drum, and 3) magical flight to the celestial regions and the underworld, 53 then there is no

evidence that the Qām is a shaman, at least as he is reflected in the Diwān Luġāt at-Turk and Qutaḍġu Bilig.

To be sure, there is no evidence that he is not. It is an argumentum e silentio. The paucity of material, both in Kāšġarī and in other pre-Mongol sources, 54 that can properly be interpreted as "shamanic," leads to the conclusion: the heathen Turks before Islam were not "shamanists." This negative conclusion is a corrective to the assertion, found in most of the textbooks, that they were shamanists. 55 It also clears the ground for an investigation as to what the religion of the Turks was (assuming they all had the same belief system) and how this differed from the religion of the Scyths, the Mongols, etc.

IX. MARVELOUS MINERALS, PLANTS, AND ANIMALS

Certain stones have magical powers. The $y\bar{a}t$ stones (see VIII above) are not otherwise identified; they are the bezoar, according to Doerfer (see note 49). Another candidate for the bezoar is $urumd\bar{a}y$ (90,11), identified only as "a stone used as an antidote to poison."

Qāš—which is jade (166,12 yašm)—is defined (511,3 f.) as "a pure stone, white or black." The two varieties come from two different rivers in the vicinity of Khotan; and the white one is set in a ring as a protection against thunderbolts, thirst, and lightning. Elsewhere (453,16) we find the adage (hikmat at-turk): "Lightning strikes not the one who has Qās" (kimnig bilā qāš bolsa yašin yaqmās); and Kāšģarī's remark: "If it is wound in a piece of cotton cloth (and placed) in fire, it does not burn, nor does the cotton. This has been tested (hāḍā mujarrab!) Also, when a thirsty man takes it into his mouth, it takes the edge off his thirst." Similar properties of jade are reported by Bīrūnī who, however, is more skeptical. 56

 $^{^{49}}$ For comparative materials on $y\bar{a}t$ see Doerfer, op. cit., Vol. I (Band XVI, 1963), heading no. 157.

 $^{^{50}}$ As in the traditional words of the gypsy fortune-teller, "Cross my palm with silver."

 $^{^{51}}$ According to Yāqūt, $Mu^{\epsilon}jam~al\text{-}Buld\bar{a}n,~s.v.$ "Turkistān."

⁵² Al-Fihrist (Cairo, 1347 H.), p. 430; cf. B. Dodge, The Fihrist of al-Nadim, 2 vols. (New York, 1970), Vol, II, p. 726, a slightly different translation.

⁵⁸ See Mircea Eliade, Shamanism: Archaic Techniques of Ecstasy (Princeton University Press, 1964), passim.

⁵⁴ See note 5 above; cf. also M. Hermanns, Schamanen - Pseudo-schamanen, Erläser und Heilbringer, 3 vols (Wiesbaden, 1970), Vol. I, p. 206f.

⁵⁵ E.g.: C. Brockelmann, History of the Islamic Peoples (Capricorn Books, 1960), p. 164; J. J. Saunders, A History of Medieval Islam (London, 1965), p. 141; G. E. von Grunebaum, Classical Islam: A History 600-1258 (Chicago, 1970), p. 67; B. Spuler, "The Disintegration of the Caliphate in the East," The Cambridge History of Islam, 2 vols. (London, 1970), Vol. I, p. 147.

⁵⁶ A. Z. V. Togan, ed., Birūni's Picture of the World Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of India, No.

In the entry tämür, "iron," Kāšģarī reports the following (182,6): "The Qirqiz, Yabāqu, Qifčāq, and others, when they make a person swear an oath or become party to a covenant with him (idā ḥallafū insānan aw axadū 'anhu mītāqan),⁵⁷ place an unsheathed sword crosswise before him and say the following: bu kōk kirsün qizil čiqsūn, which means, 'May this iron go in blue and come out red'—that is to say, 'bloody'—if you break this treaty. This means that he will be killed by iron, so that the iron be avenged upon him; for they regard iron as possessing great power (yuʿaz-zimūna l-ḥadīd)."⁵⁸

Qumlāq (239,8)—which is hops (ED, 628)—is defined as follows: "a plant similar to bindweed (lablāb) which grows in the Qifčāq regions, and is used in preparing a drink mixed with honey. When this plant is brought on board ship, the sea becomes agitated (yamūju), and the passengers nearly drown." There is perhaps here a fanciful connection with $q\bar{o}m$, "wave"; or else a reflection of the effect of beer.

Two love potions are mentioned, one a plant, the other the bones of a bird. Sigun oti (206,10), literally "stag plant," is mandrake (P. istarang); the definition is: "a certain plant whose root is shaped like a man and which is used as a remedy

53, Delhi, n. d.), p. 82-83. "They claim," says Bīrūnī, "that it protects from the evil eye and from lightning and thunderbolts. As for evil eye, this is an old wives' tale (ḥadīṭ 'āmmī). As for lightning, I saw someone try to prove it by passing a thin cloth over the surface of some jade and then placing a live coal on top of it, and it did not burn; but this is not a property special to jade—steel mirrors will do the same thing. As for thunderbolts, they are not at all resisted by it, in fact they melt it"

57 "Oath" (al-ḥalif) is and (33,11). Cf. Inan, op. cit. 317-330; E. Esin, "'And': The Cup Rites in Inner-Asian and Turkish Art," Forschungen zur Kunst Asiens (In Memoriam Kurt Erdmann, Istanbul, 1969). "Covenant" (al-mīţāq, al-ʿahd) is bičiġ (186, 14) ~ bičġās (231,1).

58 Cf. Snesarev, op. cit., Part II (vol. 9, Spring, 1971), p. 345; H. Serruys, "A note on arrows and oaths among the Mongols," JAOS 78 (1958), 279-294. When a Mamluk sultan had his emirs swear on the Qur'ān that they would not betray him, "they were made to pass under two crossed swords, after the custom of the Turks, who regard this as a most sacred oath" (Ibn lyās, An Account of the Ottoman Conquest of Egypt, tr. W. H. Salmon, London, 1921, p. 36).

for impotence.... It is found as male and female: the male is for men, the female for women." The käkük (409,13), or hawk-eagle (zummaj), is "a bird whose bones are used for incantations, love-charms, or spells (fī n-nayrinjiyāt wa-l-juyyāt wa-ruqyatihā)."

Another animal part with magical properties is xutu (541,3),⁵⁹ which is walrus horn or narwhal ivory. It is defined thus: "the horn of a sea fish, imported from $\S in$; it is also said to be the root of a tree. Knife handles are made from it. It is used to test for poison in food by shaking it up with broth, or the like, in a bowl; the food boils up without a fire (if it is poisoned); or else this horn is placed on top of a bowl and it runs with water, but without steam (if poison is present)."

Information about several animals in the Diwan is drawn from bestiary lore, not from experience. Of toya the tiger (605,6), for example, we read: "it is the one that kills the elephant." The bird küzkünäk (263,17) "resembles the saker falcon and the warren lizard ('azāyah), and lives on wind." Similarly the wolf, böri (317,6), "fasts one week in each month and during this period lives on wind"—this explains the gnashing of wolves' teeth. Another bird, kök topulgān (259,4), or mountain swallow (samām), "is said to have steel in its feathers and to bore through mountain peaks to the other side. I was told this," Kāšģarī remarks, "by someone to whom I am beholden for some favors." A third semi-fabulous bird is the togril (242,11): "a bird of prey; it kills a thousand geese and eats one."60

Kāšģarī probably never saw a crocodile (timsāḥ) or a dragon (tuʿbān), but several animal names are defined with these words in his dictionary. Of the two words defined "crocodile"—alāvān (82,4) and $n\bar{a}g$ (513,3)—the second at least is known to be of foreign origin (Skr. nāga; ED, 776). The Turks used $n\bar{a}g$ only in the calendar;

⁵⁹ Read thus; see Robert Dankoff, "A note on khutu and chatuq," JAOS 93.4 (1973), pp. 542-4.

⁶⁰ Cf. A. Caferoğlu, [Abû Hayyân] Kitâb al-Îdrâk li-lisân al-Atrâk (Istanbul, 1931), p. 64 (Arabic text): toġrul, "a well-known bird; when it is dispatched against cranes it tears apart one after another, finally killing them all, but then eats only the first." According to Rašīd ad-Dīn the toġrul is a fabulous bird, like the 'anqā'of the Maghrib; its beak and claws are hard as steel, and with one thrust it kills two to three hundred birds (cited in Doerfer, op. cit., Vol II, heading no. 1345).

for the animal "dragon" they used $n\bar{a}g$ $yil\bar{a}n$. The native term is $b\ddot{o}k\ddot{a}$ (545,13), defined as "large dragon" (tu bān 'azīm). Kāšģarī also cites the proverb: yeti bašliģ yel $b\ddot{o}k\ddot{a}$, "a dragon with seven heads," but does not explain it. However, a seven-headed dragon killed by a hero is well-known in Turkish folklore. The element yel is probably the word meaning "demon" (see VII above). The word $b\ddot{o}k\ddot{a}$ is also used as an epithet for famous warriors (abṭāl); one such was Bökä Budrač, the Yabāqu chieftain, who was defeated in a famous battle by the Ghāzi, Arslān Tegīn (see I above).

Bulān (208,10) is the Turkic word for "elk" (ED, 343), but to Kāšgarī it was a fabulous beast: "name of a large wild animal hunted in the Qifčāq regions. It has one horn, shaped like a jar, hollowed out and erect toward the sky, in which snow and rain accumulate. The female kneels down so that the male may drink from her horn, and the male kneels down so that the female may drink from his." If this elk sounds like a unicorn, it is not accidental. Classical authors such as Pliny describe the elk as having jointless legs; and it is

one of the attributes of the fabled unicorn that it has no articulation in its legs and feet.⁶³

Perhaps the strangest lore in the *Diwān* concerns baraq (190,3), the shaggy dog (kalb ahlab): "The Turks claim that when the vulture grows old it lays two eggs and then hatches them. From one emerges this dog, called Baraq-it is the swiftest running of dogs and the most reliable in the hunt; from the other emerges a chick, the last of its chicks." Elsewhere I have pointed to shamanic analogues to this notice, and suggested a Oipčag provenance.⁶⁴ It is true that Kāšģarī explicitly relates his bit of lore on Baraq to "the Turks"here, as throughout the Diwan, most likely as distinguished from the Oguz and Qifčāq. Nevertheless it is clear that much of Kāšġarī's animal and plant lore pertains especially to the northern regions.

A case in point is our final fabulous beast, käylik (522,7) or käyik kiši (518,16, literally "wild man"). In each of these entries the definition is simply: an-nasnās. Under käylik the further information is given that people who walk looking this way and that (mutalaffitan), such as those who are bewildered (madhūš) or wild (waḥšī), are likened to this beast and are called käylik kiši. In addition we find on Kāšġarī's map, in the extreme Northeast, between the great sandy tract (ar-raml) and the territories of wild beasts (mawāḍiʿ al-wuḥūš), a space in which is written: "It is said that in these deserts live the Nasnās" (yuqālu fī hāḍihi l-fayāfī yaskunu n-nasnās).

What is the Nasnās? Lane⁶⁵ cites a number of fanciful definitions, including "a certain beast... having the form of a man with one eye and leg and arm" The lexicographers place him variously in the islands of China, on the coast of the Sea of India, in Yemen, etc. "In the present day," notes Lane, "this appelation is applied to a pigmy: and also, to an ape."

Mas udies remarks, in his discussion of Nasnas, that people in the East say they are in the West

⁶¹ Cf. H. Lüders, "Zur Geschichte des ostasiatischen Tierkreises," SPAW, 1933, 998-1022; p. 1009: "Wenn nach Al-Kāšyarī die zentralasiatischen Türken im 11. Jahrhundert den Drachen des Zyklus nāk nennen, so werden sie das dem Kučischen entlehnt haben, wo das dem Sanskrit entlehnte nāk die Übersetzung des chinesischen lung ist..."

⁶² E.g.: the one killed by Salur Kazan in The Book of Dede Korkut (tr. F. Sümer, A. E. Uysal, and W. S. Walker, Univ. of Texas Press, 1972), p. 160; the one killed by Sari Saltuk (see J. K. Birge, The Bektashi Order of Dervishes, 1937 [reprinted London: Luzac, 1965], p. 51). Kāšġarī apparently did not know the Qipčaq words for "dragon," sazağan and äwrän. Sazağan appears in Codex Cumanicus, translated as "dracon;" in the Tarjumān Turkī wa-'Arabī (M. Houtsma, Ein Türkisch-Arabisches Glossar, Leiden, 1894), p. 11 (Arabic text), as "tinnīn"; and in the Bulgat al-Muštāq (A. Zajączkowski, Vocabulaire Arabe-Kiptchak . . ., Warszawa, 1958), p. 11, as "tu'ban"; cf. B. Atalay, Ettuhfet-üz-zekiye fil-lûgat-it-türkiyye (Istanbul, 1945), 10 b 12: "tu ban = ilan, ajdiha, sagsagan, sawulčaq. Äwrän appears in the Tarjumān Turkī wa-'Arabī, translated as "tu'bān"; cf Tarama Sözlüğü, Vol. III (Ankara, 1967), p. 1574: evren, "dragon"; . . . Derleme Sözlüğü, Vol. V (Ankara, 1972), p. 1813, "big, hero, etc.; big snake, monster." In the Diwān (67, 8; ED, 13) äwrän is a type of oven!

⁶³ See R. Ettinghausen, Studies in Muslim Iconography,
I. The Unicorn (Freer Gallery of Art Occasional Papers 1,3, Washington, 1950), p. 99-100.

⁶⁴ Robert Dankoff, "Baraq and Burāq," Central Asiatic Journal XV.2, 1971, 102-117. To the evidence from Qipčaq glossaries cited on p. 106, add: Kitab al-Idrak..., p. 74 (Arabic text): qilbaraq, "a wooly hunting dog."

⁶⁵ E. W. Lane, An Arabic-English Lexicon . . ., 8 vols. (London, 1863-93), Vol. 8, p. 2785.

⁶⁶ Murūj ad-Dahab, Beirut, 1965, Vol. II, p. 208.

and people in the West say they are in the East; everyone places them farthest from themselves. In an anecdote reported by Niẓāmī-i 'Arūḍī⁶⁷ a caravan "travelling towards Tamgháj" comes across a wild man which the guides "who were Turks" explain to be a Nasnās. Marvazī⁶⁸ writes: "It is said that in the deserts stretching between Badakhshān and Kāshgar there is a considerable number of this animal." In commenting on this

passage, Minorsky⁶⁹ cites Muṭahhar IV,92 who says that a kind of Nasnās is found in the region of Bāmīr, which is a desert stretching between Kashmīr, Tibet, Vakhān and China; "Kāshghari," says Minorsky, "born in the vicinity of Pamir, had to remove the nasnās to a farther terra incognita..."

The only other Turkic dictionary I can find that mentions Nasnās is one of the Qipčaq glossaries, where, however, there is a blank space next to the Arabic word.

⁶⁷ E. G. Browne, [Nidhámí-i-'Arudí] Chahár Maqála (Hertford, 1899), p. 18-19.

⁶⁸ V. Minorsky, Sharaf al-Zamān Ṭāhir Marvazī on China, the Turks and India (London, 1942), p. 60.

⁶⁹ *Ibid.*, p. 105.

⁷⁰ Ettuhfet-üz-zekiye . . . , 36 a 13.